

Optimising Multiple Metrics with MERT

Christophe SERVAN and Holger SCHWENK

05-10/09/2011



Introduction

Metric combination & TER optimisation: why?


- difficulty to reproduce some experiments
- GALE evaluation main metric is HTER
⇒ need to tune with TER
- former MTMarathon project not achieved

Implementation

- TER scorer
- merge scorer
- *mert-moses.pl* switch



Process description: combination of BLEU and TER



TER scorer

- extension of our TER library (C++ implementation)
- small modification of the MERT implementation
- optimisation of $1 - TER$ (called *negTER*)

$$TER = \frac{\textit{nbr of edition}}{\textit{average length of references}} \quad (1)$$



TER scorer


Details

- extractor software side:
 - the *setReferenceFiles* function
⇒ to load references
 - the *prepareStats* function
⇒ returns the number of edition and the average length of the TER score
- mert software side:
 - the *calculateScore* function
⇒ to calculate the TER scores



Merge Scorer

- a scorer to combine metrics
- uses the other scorer already implemented in `mert`



Merge Scorer

Details

- empty function for the `extractor` software side, the Merge scorer is only used in the `mert` software.
- `mert` software side:
 - the `calculateScore` function
⇒ this function allows to call each `calculateScore` function of every scorer implemented.

Other modifications

- the need of a init file that contains weight associated to every metric, feature and score files:

Metric	weight	feature file name	score file name
BLEU	2	BLEU_FEATURE_FILE	BLEU_SCORING_FILE
TER	1	TER_FEATURE_FILE	TER_SCORING_FILE

- add scorer names to the *scorerFactory* file
- the *calculateScore* function of every scorer in order to accept a vector of float instead of a vector of int
- modification of the script *mert-moses.pl* and add the switch *--sctype* to give the configuration of every metrics used into the init file.



Experiments

- GALE Evaluation
 - ⇒ translation from Arabic to English:
 - news
 - speech
 - web
- WMT'11 Evaluation
 - ⇒ translation from French to English

experimental protocol

- reference: BLEU tuning
- seeds are fixed
- main metric: $\frac{TER- BLEU}{2}$



WMT'11

Training details

- same system used for WMT'11 evaluation
- 435M Words (europarl 6, news commentary 6, filtered 10⁹ corpus, unsupervised data)
- 7G words for target LM (English)
- tuning and tests:
 - tuning corpus: newstest2009
 - internal test: newstest2010
 - evaluation test: newstest2011



WMT'11

- Results:

Optimisation	newstest2009 (Dev)			newstest2010 (Internal test)			newstest2011 (Evaluation test)		
	BLEU	TER	$\frac{TER-BLEU}{2}$	BLEU	TER	$\frac{TER-BLEU}{2}$	BLEU	TER	$\frac{TER-BLEU}{2}$
BLEU	29.14	53.98	12.42	29.65	52.78	11.57	30.19	51.61	10.71
TER	27.65	52.91	12.63	28.79	51.56	11.39	29.36	50.57	10.61
1xBLEU-TER	29.15	53.58	12.22	29.95	52.42	11.24	30.37	51.36	10.50
2xBLEU-TER	29.10	53.88	12.39	29.93	52.55	11.31	30.15	51.56	10.71
3xBLEU-TER	29.19	53.83	12.32	29.99	52.46	11.24	30.14	51.56	10.71
4xBLEU-TER	29.21	54.01	12.40	29.98	52.60	11.31	30.08	51.75	10.84
5xBLEU-TER	29.33	53.84	12.26	29.89	52.53	11.32	30.21	51.56	10.68

WMT'11

- Results:

Optimisation	newstest2009 (Dev)			newstest2010 (Internal test)			newstest2011 (Evaluation test)		
	BLEU	TER	$\overline{TER- BLEU}$	BLEU	TER	$\overline{TER- BLEU}$	BLEU	TER	$\overline{TER- BLEU}$
BLEU	29.14	53.98	12.42	29.65	52.78	11.57	30.19	51.61	10.71
TER	27.65	52.91	12.63	28.79	51.56	11.39	29.36	50.57	10.61
1xBLEU-TER	29.15	53.58	12.22	29.95	52.42	11.24	30.37	51.36	10.50
2xBLEU-TER	29.10	53.88	12.39	29.93	52.55	11.31	30.15	51.56	10.71
3xBLEU-TER	29.19	53.83	12.32	29.99	52.46	<i>11.24</i>	30.14	51.56	10.71
4xBLEU-TER	29.21	54.01	12.40	29.98	52.60	11.31	30.08	51.75	10.84
5xBLEU-TER	29.33	53.84	12.26	29.89	52.53	11.32	30.21	51.56	10.68

⇒ TER optimisation: improvement of TER with degrading BLEU.



WMT'11

- Results:

Optimisation	newstest2009 (Dev)			newstest2010 (Internal test)			newstest2011 (Evaluation test)		
	BLEU	TER	$\overrightarrow{TER- BLEU}$	BLEU	TER	$\overrightarrow{TER- BLEU}$	BLEU	TER	$\overrightarrow{TER- BLEU}$
BLEU	29.14	53.98	12.42	29.65	52.78	11.57	30.19	51.61	10.71
TER	27.65	52.91	12.63	28.79	51.56	11.39	29.36	50.57	10.61
1xBLEU-TER	29.15	53.58	12.22	29.95	52.42	11.24	30.37	51.36	10.50
2xBLEU-TER	29.10	53.88	12.39	29.93	52.55	11.31	30.15	51.56	10.71
3xBLEU-TER	29.19	53.83	12.32	29.99	52.46	11.24	30.14	51.56	10.71
4xBLEU-TER	29.21	54.01	12.40	29.98	52.60	11.31	30.08	51.75	10.84
5xBLEU-TER	29.33	53.84	12.26	29.89	52.53	11.32	30.21	51.56	10.68

⇒ TER optimisation: improvement of TER with degrading BLEU.

⇒ combined optimisation: improvement of TER without degrading BLEU.



GALE

Training details

- different genre of corpus: news, web and speech
- size of bitext:

Genre	# lines	# words AR	# words EN
news	3M	72.8M	76.9M
web	2.2M	46.6M	48.3M
speech	2.4M	54.4M	57.3M

- for each genre
 - about 4G words for target LM
 - tuning and tests about 50K words for news and web, 100K for speech
 - 3 references for web and speech, 1 for news



GALE

● Results:

Corpus name	Optimisation	Dev			Test		
		BLEU	TER	$(\text{TER}-\text{BLEU})/2$	BLEU	TER	$(\text{TER}-\text{BLEU})/2$
news	BLEU	33.56	43.80	5.12	32.98	44.25	5.63
	TER	34.07	42.81	4.37	33.55	43.18	4.82
	1xBLEU-TER	33.55	43.67	5.06	33.18	44.00	5.41
	2xBLEU-TER	33.47	43.66	5.09	33.10	44.05	5.47
	3xBLEU-TER	33.66	43.45	4.89	33.19	43.91	5.36
web	BLEU	40.78	61.20	10.96	39.27	61.86	11.29
	TER	40.46	60.59	10.68	39.24	61.43	11.10
	1xBLEU-TER	40.76	61.09	10.79	39.52	61.72	11.10
	2xBLEU-TER	40.62	61.01	10.87	39.28	61.56	11.14
	3xBLEU-TER	40.72	60.86	10.72	39.42	61.56	11.07
speech	BLEU	33.73	58.03	12.15	33.94	58.03	12.04
	TER	33.30	55.92	11.31	33.39	56.34	11.47
	1xBLEU-TER	34.04	56.98	11.47	34.13	57.17	11.52
	2xBLEU-TER	33.97	57.21	11.62	34.12	57.28	11.58
	3xBLEU-TER	33.86	57.97	12.05	33.88	58.13	12.12

GALE

- Results:

Corpus name	Optimisation	Dev			Test		
		BLEU	TER	$(\text{TER}-\text{BLEU})/2$	BLEU	TER	$(\text{TER}-\text{BLEU})/2$
news	BLEU	33.56	43.80	5.12	32.98	44.25	5.63
	TER	34.07	42.81	4.37	33.55	43.18	4.82
	1xBLEU-TER	33.55	43.67	5.06	33.18	44.00	5.41
	2xBLEU-TER	33.47	43.66	5.09	33.10	44.05	5.47
	3xBLEU-TER	33.66	43.45	4.89	33.19	43.91	5.36
web	BLEU	40.78	61.20	10.96	39.27	61.86	11.29
	TER	40.46	60.59	10.68	39.24	61.43	11.10
	1xBLEU-TER	40.76	61.09	10.79	39.52	61.72	11.10
	2xBLEU-TER	40.62	61.01	10.87	39.28	61.56	11.14
	3xBLEU-TER	40.72	60.86	10.72	39.42	61.56	11.07
speech	BLEU	33.73	58.03	12.15	33.94	58.03	12.04
	TER	33.30	55.92	11.31	33.39	56.34	11.47
	1xBLEU-TER	34.04	56.98	11.47	34.13	57.17	11.52
	2xBLEU-TER	33.97	57.21	11.62	34.12	57.28	11.58
	3xBLEU-TER	33.86	57.97	12.05	33.88	58.13	12.12

⇒ TER optimisation: improvement of TER with degrading BLEU for web and speech, improvement of both metrics with news.



GALE

- Results:

Corpus name	Optimisation	Dev			Test		
		BLEU	TER	(TER-BLEU)/2	BLEU	TER	(TER-BLEU)/2
news	BLEU	33.56	43.80	5.12	32.98	44.25	5.63
	TER	34.07	42.81	4.37	33.55	43.18	4.82
	1xBLEU-TER	33.55	43.67	5.06	33.18	44.00	5.41
	2xBLEU-TER	33.47	43.66	5.09	33.10	44.05	5.47
	3xBLEU-TER	33.66	43.45	4.89	33.19	43.91	5.36
web	BLEU	40.78	61.20	10.96	39.27	61.86	11.29
	TER	40.46	60.59	10.68	39.24	61.43	11.10
	1xBLEU-TER	40.76	61.09	10.79	39.52	61.72	11.10
	2xBLEU-TER	40.62	61.01	10.87	39.28	61.56	11.14
	3xBLEU-TER	40.72	60.86	10.72	39.42	61.56	11.07
speech	BLEU	33.73	58.03	12.15	33.94	58.03	12.04
	TER	33.30	55.92	11.31	33.39	56.34	11.47
	1xBLEU-TER	34.04	56.98	11.47	34.13	57.17	11.52
	2xBLEU-TER	33.97	57.21	11.62	34.12	57.28	11.58
	3xBLEU-TER	33.86	57.97	12.05	33.88	58.13	12.12

⇒ TER optimisation: improvement of TER with degrading BLEU for web and speech, improvement of both metrics with news.

⇒ combined optimisation: improvement of TER without degrading BLEU but not the best result (TER-BLEU)/2.



Conclusions

negTER optimisation

- improvement of TER with degrading BLEU with the Fr→En task of WMT'11.
- improvement of TER with degrading BLEU with the Ar→En task of GALE'11 except for the news eval (improvement of both metrics).

Combined optimisation

- improvement of TER and BLEU with both task and language pair compared to the BLEU optimisation only.

⇒ investigations about these performances (language pair, language type... ?)



Conclusions & outlooks

- new scorer tested successfully on two language pair : Fr→En (news) and Ar→En (news, web and speech)
- find the best metric combination related to human judgements
- add further metrics (TERp, METEOR...)

- software available at:

https:

```
//mosesdecoder.svn.sourceforge.net/svnroot/  
mosesdecoder/branches/mert-other_metrics  
and packaged at for the latest moses (soon into the main  
trunk): http://www-lium.univ-lemans.fr/  
~servan/package_multi_scorer.rev4138.tgz
```

- usage: `mert-moses.multi.pl` with the switch:
`--sctype=BLEU:2,TER:1`



Thank you!

